arXiv:1504.04110v2 [math.FA] 13 Oct 2015

Radon Nikodým theorem for a pair of multisubmeasures with respect to the Gould integrability

Domenico Candeloro Anca Croitoru Alina Gavriluţ Anna Rita Sambucini

October 14, 2015

Abstract

Some topics concerning the Gould integral are presented here: new results of integrability on finite measure spaces with values in a particular M-space are given, together with a Radon-Nikodým theorem relative to a Gould-type integral of real functions with respect to a multisubmeasure.

MSC: 28B20, 28C15, 49J53.

Keywords and phrases: monotone measure, Gould integral, multisubmeasure, Radon-Nikodým theorem.

1 Introduction

In the last years, the field of non-additive measures was intensively studied due to its many applications in economics, statistics, human decision making, medicine. In this field, the theory of monotonicity is used in statistics, game theory, probability, artificial intelligence. Alternative notions of integrals have also been given, for various applications in Banach lattices (see e.g. [1, 2, 7]). In fact these structures are often good models for function spaces, and also for studying set-valued measures or functions, since many important hyperspaces can be embedded in $C(\Omega)$ (see e.g. [22]). Subsequently, the notions of order-type integrals have also been introduced and studied, for functions taking their values in ordered vector spaces, and in Banach lattices in particular: see [3, 8, 9, 17]. On the other hand, the studies in set-valued analysis are motivated by many theoretical and practical contributions in optimal control, game theory, optimization, mathematical economics, equilibrium problems. An important problem in measure theory, that is the existence of a Radon-Nikodým derivative, was also approached by many authors in several different settings (e.g. [5,6,10,12,19,23–26,32]). In this paper essentially two interesting topics on Gould integrability are discussed: some results are obtained for integrability of multisubmeasures with values in $ck(X)$ and a Radon-Nikodým theorem is established, in order to represent a set-valued additive measure as the Gould-type integral of a suitable real-valued function with respect to a fixed multisubmeasure.

The paper is organized in five sections as follows: in the second section some basic notions and results are given. Section 3 contains some results on total-measurability of functions that will be used in the sequel, see also [27] for the finitely additive case. In Section 4 different results are stated, regarding Gould type integrability relative to a non-necessarily additive measure. In the fifth section a Radon-Nikodým type theorem will be obtained using the set-valued integral defined in [29, 30]. According to this result, a multimeasure Γ can be expressed like a Gould type set-valued integral of a function f with respect to a multisubmeasure M, that is: $\Gamma(E) = \int_E f dM$, for every $E \in \mathscr{A}$, under a suitable *exhaustion* condition and the strong absolute continuity of Γ with respect to M. In this case, the construction of the Radon-Nikodým derivative makes use of the mentioned notion of exhaustion, introduced by Maynard in the scalar case and extended by other authors to the vector valued case: [21, 24, 26, 28, 31, 32].

2 Basic notions and terminology

Unless stated otherwise, all over this paper T is an abstract nonvoid set, $\mathscr{P}(T)$ the family of all subsets of T, $\mathscr{A}$ an algebra of subsets of T and $\mu : \mathscr{A} \to [0,+\infty)$ an arbitrary set function, with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. If $A \subseteq T$, then $T\setminus A$ will be denoted by A^c. The symbol m will be used for vector valued set functions. Now, several notions and basic results are briefly stated, for further use.

Definition 2.1 The set function $\overline{\mu} : \mathscr{P}(T) \to [0,+\infty]$, called *the variation* of μ, is defined by $\overline{\mu}(E) = \sup\{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \mu(A_i)\}$, for every $E \in \mathscr{P}(T)$, where the supremum is extended over all finite families of pairwise disjoint sets $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathscr{A}$ with $A_i \subseteq E$, for every $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$.
μ is said to be *of finite variation on* $\mathscr{A}$ if $\overline{\mu}(A) < \infty$, for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$ (or, equivalently, if $\overline{\mu}(T) < \infty$).
Also the set function $\widetilde{\mu}$ will be considered, defined by $\widetilde{\mu}(E) = \inf\{\overline{\mu}(A); E \subseteq A, A \in \mathscr{A}\}$, for every $E \in \mathscr{P}(T)$.

The following statements easily follow by the definitions.

Remark 2.2 (see also [13]) Let μ be a non negative set function. If $E \in \mathscr{A}$, then in the definition of $\overline{\mu}$ the supremum could be considered over all finite families of pairwise disjoint sets $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \subset \mathscr{A}$, so that $\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n} A_i = E$. It is $\mu(A) \leq \overline{\mu}(A)\ \forall A \in \mathscr{A}$. So, if $\overline{\mu}(A) = 0$, then $\mu(A) = 0$, $\forall A \in \mathscr{A}$.
Suppose μ is monotone and let $A \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\mu(A) = 0$. Then $\overline{\mu}(A) = 0$. In consequence, if μ is monotone, then $\overline{\mu}(A) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu(A) = 0$, for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$.
$\overline{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{\mu}$ are monotone and $\widetilde{\mu}(A) = \overline{\mu}(A)$, for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$.
If μ is subadditive (σ-subadditive respectively), then $\overline{\mu}$ is additive (σ-additive respectively) on $\mathscr{A}$.
If μ is finitely additive, then $\overline{\mu}(E) = \sup\{\mu(B) | B \in \mathscr{A}, B \subseteq E\}$, for every $E \in \mathscr{P}(T)$ and $\overline{\mu}(A) = \mu(A)$ for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$.

Definition 2.3 ([21], [23, Definition 3.2]) Let $\mu : \mathscr{A} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}_+$ be finitely additive.

2.3.a) A finite or countable family of pairwise disjoint sets $(E_i)_{i\in I} \subset \mathscr{A}$ will be called a μ*-exhaustion* of $E \in \mathscr{A}$ if $\mu(E_i) > 0$ for every $i \in I$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $n_0(\varepsilon) = n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu(E \setminus \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n_0} E_i) < \varepsilon$.

2.3.b) A set property P is said to be μ*-exhaustive* on $E \in \mathscr{A}$ if there exists a μ-exhaustion $(E_i)_i$ of E, such that every E_i has P.

2.3.c) A set property P is called μ*-null difference* if whenever $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\mu(A) > 0$ and $\mu(B) > 0$, from $\mu(A \triangle B) = 0$, it follows that either A and B both have P or neither does.

2.3.d) A property (P) about the points of T *holds* $\widetilde{\mu}$*-almost everywhere* (denoted $\widetilde{\mu}$*-a.e.*) if there exists $A \in \mathscr{P}(T)$ so that $\widetilde{\mu}(A) = 0$ and (P) holds on $T\setminus A$.

Definition 2.4 A real function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is called $\mathscr{A}$*-simple* (shortly *simple*) if $f = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i 1_{A_i}$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for every $i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, $a_i \neq a_j$ for $i \neq j$, where the sets A_i are pairwise disjoint elements of $\mathscr{A}$ and 1_{A_i} is the characteristic function of A_i.

3 Totally-measurable functions

In this section totally-measurable real functions are discussed. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be an algebra of subsets of T and $\mu : \mathscr{A} \to [0,+\infty)$ a set function with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.

Definition 3.1 A *partition* of T is a finite family of nonvoid sets $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \mathscr{A}$ such that $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset, i \neq j$, and $\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n} A_i = T$. Let $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be two partitions of T. P' is said to be *finer than* P, denoted by $P \leq P'$ (or, $P' \geq P$), if for every $j \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, there exists $i_j \in \{1,\dots,n\}$ so that $B_j \subseteq A_{i_j}$. The *common refinement* of two partitions $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $P' = \{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$ is the partition $P \vee P' = \{A_i \cap B_j\}_{i,j \in \{1,\dots,n\}}$.

Obviously, $P \vee P' \geq P$ and $P \vee P' \geq P'$. The class of all partitions of T will be denoted by $\mathscr{P}$, and if $A \in \mathscr{A}$ is fixed, $\mathscr{P}_A$ denotes the class of all partitions of A.

Definition 3.2 [13, Definition 3.2] A real function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be:

3.2.i) *μ-totally-measurable* (*on* T) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition of T such that the following two conditions hold:

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} \overline{\mu}(A_0) < \varepsilon \ \text{ and} \\ \sup\limits_{t,s \in A_i} |f(t) - f(s)| = \operatorname{osc}(f, A_i) < \varepsilon, \ \text{ for every } i \in \{1,\dots,n\}. \end{cases}$$

3.2.ii) *μ-totally-measurable on* $B \in \mathscr{A}$ if the restriction $f|_B$ of f to B is μ-totally measurable on $(B, \mathscr{A}_B, \mu_B)$, where $\mathscr{A}_B = \{A \cap B; A \in \mathscr{A}\}$ and $\mu_B = \mu|_{\mathscr{A}_B}$.

In case $m : \mathscr{A} \to X$ takes values in a Banach space X, and satisfies $m(\emptyset) = 0$, f is said to be m-totally measurable if it is with respect to the positive set function $\mu := \|m\|$, provided μ has finite variation. Analogously we define $\overline{m} := \overline{\|m\|}$ and $\widetilde{m} := \widetilde{\|m\|}$.

If $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is simple, $f = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i 1_{A_i}$, then f is trivially m-totally-measurable.

Remark 3.3 [13, Remark 3.3]

3.3.a) In the condition $(*)$ of [20, Definition 4.2] of a totally-measurable function, instead of the variation of μ the semivariation is used. Recall that for a vector measure $m : \mathscr{A} \to X$ (where $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a Banach space), its semivariation m^* is defined by:

$$m^*(E) = \sup\{\|m(A)\|; A \in \mathscr{A}, A \subseteq E\}, \forall\, E \in \mathscr{P}(T).$$

Thus, if $A \in \mathscr{A}$, then $\|m(A)\| \leq \overline{m}(A)$, which implies that $m^*(E) \leq \overline{m}(E)$, for every $E \in \mathscr{P}(T)$. In consequence, Definition 3.2 of m-total measurability is stronger than total measurability according to [20, Definition 4.2] (which is called m^*-total-measurability). Observe also that if m is real-valued, non-negative and finitely additive (subadditive and monotone respectively), then one has easily $\overline{m}(E) = m^*(E)$, for every $E \in \mathscr{P}(T)$ ($E \in \mathscr{A}$ respectively). So, in this case, the two definitions coincide.

3.3.b) If $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is m-totally-measurable on T, then f is m-totally-measurable on every $A \in \mathscr{A}$.

3.3.c) Suppose m is subadditive and let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^p \subset \mathscr{A}$. If $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is m-totally-measurable on every $A_i, i \in \{1,\dots,p\}$, then f is m-totally-measurable on $\bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{p} A_i$.

Theorem 3.4 [13, Theorem 3.5] *A function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is μ-totally measurable if and only if there exists a sequence $(f_n)_n$ of simple functions such that $f_n \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mu}} f$ (i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \widetilde{\mu}(A_n(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A_n(\varepsilon) = \{t \in T : |f_n(t) - f(t)| > \varepsilon\}$).*

4 Gould integrability

In this section some properties are obtained concerning Gould integrability. The Gould integral was defined in [20] for real functions with respect to a finitely additive vector measure taking values in a Banach space. Different generalizations and topics were introduced and studied in [4, 13, 15–17, 29, 30, 33].
Here we deal with mappings $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$, with Ω compact, Hausdorff and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is considered . It is well-known that this norm coincides with the *unit* norm $\|\cdot\|_u$, where u is the function constantly equal to 1 on Ω. The symbol $|\cdot|$ denotes also the modulus in $C(\Omega)$. In any case many of the results here given are still valid in an arbitrary Banach space.

For an arbitrary real function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$, the symbol $\sigma_m(f,P)$ (or, if there is no doubt, $\sigma(f,P), \sigma_m(P)$ or $\sigma(P)$) denotes the sum $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} f(t_i)m(A_i)$, for every partition of T, $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and every $t_i \in A_i, i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$.

Definition 4.1 A real function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be

4.1.i) (*Gould*) *m-integrable on T* if the net $(\sigma(P))_{P\in(\mathscr{P},\leq)}$ is convergent in $C(\Omega)$, where $\mathscr{P}$ is ordered by the relation " $\leq$ " given in Definition 3.1. If $(\sigma(P))_{P\in(\mathscr{P},\leq)}$ is convergent, then its limit is called *the Gould integral of f on T with respect to m*, denoted by $(G)\int_T f dm$ (shortly $\int_T f dm$).

4.1.ii) *m-integrable on* $B \in \mathscr{A}$ if the restriction $f|_B$ of f to B is m-integrable on $(B, \mathscr{A}_B, m_B)$.

Remark 4.2 f is m-integrable on T if and only if there exists $\alpha \in C(\Omega)$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a partition P_ε of T, so that for every other partition of T, $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$, with $P \geq P_\varepsilon$ and every choice of points $t_i \in A_i, i \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, one has $\|\sigma(P) - \alpha\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

Proposition 4.3 *Let $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ be any mapping, and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ be any Gould-integrable mapping with respect to m. Then, if A is any fixed element of $\mathscr{A}$, the mapping $f\mathbf{1}_A$ is integrable too.*

Proof. Denote with J the integral of f and fix any $\varepsilon > 0$: then there exists a partition $P \in \mathscr{P}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{I\in P'} f(t_I)m(I) - J \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$$

holds, for all partitions P' finer than P. Now, denote by P_0 any partition finer than P and also finer than $\{A, T\setminus A\}$, and by P_A the partition of A consisting of all the elements of P_0 that are contained in A. Next, let Π_A and Π'_A denote two partitions of A finer than P_A, and extend them with a common partition of $T\setminus A$ (also with the same *tags*) in such a way that the two resulting partitions, denoted by Π and Π', are both finer than P. So,

$$\|\sigma(f,\Pi) - \sigma(f,\Pi')\|_\infty \leq \|\sigma(f,\Pi) - J\|_\infty + \|J - \sigma(f,\Pi')\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon.$$

Now, setting:

$$\alpha_1 := \sum_{I\in\Pi_A} f(t_I)m(I), \qquad \alpha_2 := \sum_{I\in\Pi_A} f(t'_I)m(I), \qquad \beta := \sum_{I\in\Pi, I\subset A^c} f(\tau_I)m(I),$$

(with obvious meaning of the symbols), one has $2\varepsilon \geq \|\alpha_1 + \beta - \alpha_2 + \beta\|_\infty = \|\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\|_\infty$. By arbitrariness of Π_A and Π'_A, this means that the sums $\sigma(f,\Pi_A)$ satisfy a Cauchy principle in $C(\Omega)$: since this space is complete with respect to its norm, the assertion follows. ■

Example 4.4 Some examples are given here:

4.4.a) Let T be a finite set, $\mathscr{A} = \mathscr{P}(T)$, $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary. Then f is Gould m-integrable and $\int_T f dm = \sum\limits_{t \in T} f(t)m(\{t\})$.

4.4.b) If $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ is finitely additive and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is simple, $f = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot 1_{A_i}$, then f is Gould m-integrable and $\int_T f dm = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot m(A_i)$.

Concerning the relationship between total measurability and Gould integrability, in [20, Theorem 4.7] it is proved that if $m : \mathscr{A} \to X$ is a finitely additive Banach valued measure and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then f is Gould m-integrable if and only if f is m^*-totally-measurable. This fact allows us to deduce that, as soon as $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ is finitely additive, if a function f is Gould integrable then also $|f|$ is: indeed, m^*-total measurability of f implies the same for $|f|$, since the oscillation of $|f|$ is less than or equal to that of f. Also in [18, Theorem 2.16] it is shown that if $\mu : \mathscr{A} \to [0, +\infty)$ is a submeasure of finite variation and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then f is Gould μ-integrable if and only if f is μ-totally-measurable. Evidently, this equivalence is no longer valid in the present setting. The previous example 4.4.b) can be improved as follows.

Proposition 4.5 *Let $\mathscr{A}$ be a σ-algebra, and $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ be finitely additive, and assume that $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a countable family of pairwise disjoint elements of $\mathscr{A}$, such that* $\lim_n \overline{m}(\cup_{j>n} A_j) = 0$. *Then, the function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $f = \sum_n c_n 1_{A_n}$ is Gould-integrable as soon as the sequence $(c_n)_n$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$; in this case, $\int_T f dm = \sum_n c_n m(A_n)$.*

Proof. Under these assumptions, it is clear that the real-valued series $\sum_n |c_n| \overline{m}(A_n)$ is convergent, hence the series $\sum_n c_n m(A_n)$ is convergent in $C(\Omega)$. Define now $S := \bigcup_n A_n$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\overline{m}(\cup_{j>N} A_j) < \varepsilon$. Therefore

$$\sum_{j>N} |c_j| \overline{m}(A_j) \leq K\varepsilon$$

where K is any bound for $|c_n|$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Set now $F := \bigcup_{j \leq N} A_j$, and choose any partition P of T, finer than $\{F, S \setminus F, T \setminus S\}$. Setting $P = \{(B_i, t_i), i = 1.., k\}$ then one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} f(t_i)m(B_i) = \sum_{i \in I_1} f(t_i)m(B_i) + \sum_{i \in I_2} f(t_i)m(B_i),$$

where $I_1 = \{i : B_i \subset F\}$, $I_2 = \{i : B_i \subset S \setminus F\}$. Of course

$$\sum_{i \in I_1} f(t_i)m(B_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j m(A_j)$$

and

$$|| \sum_{i \in I_2} f(t_i)m(B_i) ||_\infty \leq K\overline{m}(\cup_{j>N} A_j)) \leq K\varepsilon.$$

So,

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^{k} f(t_i)m(B_i) - \sum_n c_n m(A_n) \|_\infty \leq \| \sum_{i \in I_2} |c_j m(A_j)| \|_\infty + \sum_{j>N} |c_j| \overline{m}(A_j) \leq 2K\varepsilon.$$

This concludes the proof. ■

Later, also the following concept will be useful.

Definition 4.6 A set function $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ is said to be *subadditive* if $m(A \cup B) \leq m(A) + m(B)$ holds, as soon as $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$, $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.7 Given a mapping $m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$, such that $m(\emptyset) = 0$, m is said to be *Gould-integrable* if the constant mapping **1** is, with respect to m.

By the Proposition 4.3, then m is integrable in every measurable set $A \subset T$. Moreover, denoting by $\lambda_m(A)$ the integral of m in A, the mapping $A \mapsto \lambda_m(A)$ is finitely additive, as proved in the following.

Proposition 4.8 *In the conditions above, the function λ_m, defined in $\mathscr{A}$ as $\lambda_m(A) = \int_A dm$, is additive.*

Proof. Fix two disjoint sets A and B in $\mathscr{A}$, and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By integrability of m on $A \cup B, A, B$ there exist:

4.8.1) a partition P_1 of $A \cup B$ such that $\|\sigma(1, P') - \lambda_m(A \cup B)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ for all partitions P' of $A \cup B$ finer than P_1,

4.8.2) a partition P_A of A such that $\|\sigma(1, P') - \lambda_m(A)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ for all partitions P' of A finer than P_A,

4.8.3) a partition P_B of B such that $\|\sigma(1, P') - \lambda_m(B)\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$ for all partitions P' of B finer than P_B.

Now, taking any partition P of $A \cup B$, finer than P_1, P_A and P_B, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda_m(A \cup B) - \lambda_m(A) - \lambda_m(B)\|_\infty &\leq \|\lambda_m(A \cup B) - \sigma(1, P)\|_\infty + \|\sigma(1, P_A) - \lambda_m(A)\|_\infty + \\ &+ \|\sigma(1, P_B) - \lambda_m(B)\|_\infty \leq 3\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where P_A and P_B are the sub-partitions of P that are partitions of A and B respectively. Since ε is arbitrary, the proof is complete. ■

In other words, m is Gould-integrable if and only if there exists a finitely additive measure $\lambda_m : \mathscr{A} \to C(\Omega)$ such that, for every set $A \in \mathscr{A}$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a partition $P \in \mathscr{P}$ can be found, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{I \in P'} m(I \cap A) - \lambda_m(A) \right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon$$

holds, as soon as P' is finer than P. When this is the case, then λ_m is called the *integral function* of m. Clearly, m is additive if and only if it is integrable and $m = \lambda_m$.

Proceeding like in the proof of [8, Proposition 6], one can deduce the following result.

Proposition 4.9 *Let $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ be any integrable function. Then there exists a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of partitions such that, for every n it is*

$$\sum_{E \in \Pi} Ob(f, E) \leq \frac{u}{n},$$

where

$$Ob(f, E) = \sup_{\Pi'_E, \Pi''_E} \left\{ \left| \sum_{F'' \in \Pi''_E} f(t) m(F'') - \sum_{F' \in \Pi'_E} f(s) m(F') \right|, \quad \forall\, t \in F'', s \in F' \right\},$$

and Π'_E, Π''_E run along all partitions of E.

Proof. First observe that, thanks to the Cauchy criterion, a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of partitions exists, such that, for every integer n

$$\left|\sum_{F'\in\Pi'} f(s)m(F') - \sum_{F''\in\Pi''} f(t)m(F'')\right| \leq \frac{u}{n} \tag{1}$$

(with obvious meaning of symbols) holds, for all partitions Π', Π'' finer than P_n. Now, take any integer n and, for each element E of Π_n, consider two arbitrary subpartitions Π'_E and Π''_E. Then, taking the *union* of the subpartitions Π'_E as E varies, and making the same operation with the subpartitions Π''_E, two partitions of T are obtained, finer than P_n, for which (1) holds true. From (1), obviously it follows

$$\sum_{F'\in\Pi'} f(s)m(F') - \sum_{F''\in\Pi''} f(t)m(F'') \leq \frac{u}{n}. \tag{2}$$

Now, let E_1 be the first element of Π_n. In the summation at left-hand side, fix all the $F's$ and the $F''s$ that are not contained in E_1. Taking the supremum when the remaining $F's$ and $F''s$ vary in all possible ways, it follows

$$\sup_{\Pi'_{E_1}} \sigma(f,\Pi'_{E_1}) - \inf_{\Pi''_{E_1}} \sigma(f,\Pi''_{E_1}) + \sum_{\substack{F'\in\Pi',\\ F'\not\subset E_1}} f(s)m(F') - \sum_{\substack{F''\in\Pi'',\\ F''\not\subset E_1}} f(t)m(F'') \leq \frac{u}{n},$$

namely

$$Ob(f,E_1) + \sum_{\substack{F'\in\Pi',\\ F'\not\subset E_1}} f(s)m(F') - \sum_{\substack{F''\in\Pi'',\\ F''\not\subset E_1}} f(t)m(F'') \leq \frac{u}{n}.$$

In the same fashion, fixed all the F' and F'' that are not contained in the second subset of Π, (say E_2), and making the same operation, it follows

$$Ob(f,E_1) + Ob(f,E_2) + \sum_{\substack{F'\in\Pi',\\ F'\not\subset E_1\cup E_2}} f(s)m(F') - \sum_{\substack{F''\in\Pi'',\\ F''\not\subset E_1\cup E_2}} f(t)m(F'') \leq \frac{u}{n}$$

Now, it is clear how to deduce the assertion. ■

The following results state an easy consequence of Proposition 4.9.

Corollary 4.10 *Let $g : T \to \mathbb{R}$ be any Gould-integrable function. Then there exists a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of partitions, such that, for every n and every partition Π finer than P_n*

4.10.1)

$$\sum_{E\in\Pi} \left| g(\tau_E)m(E) - \int_E g\,dm \right| \leq \frac{u}{n}.$$

Corollary 4.11 *Assume that m is integrable. Then a bounded function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is Gould-integrable with respect to m if and only if it is with respect to λ_m, and the two integrals agree.*

Proof. Assume that f is integrable with respect to m, and denote by K any majorant for $|f|$. Now, fix arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$: correspondingly, there exists a partition P_1 such that

$$\left\|\sum_{I\in P} f(t_I)m(I) - \int_T f\,dm\right\|_\infty \leq \varepsilon \qquad i.e. \qquad \left|\sum_{I\in P} f(t_I)m(I) - \int_T f\,dm\right| \leq \varepsilon u$$

holds, for every partition P, finer than P_1. Let n be such that $1/n \leq \varepsilon$, by the Corollary 4.10, for $g = 1$, there exists also a partition P_2 such that

$$\sum_{E \in \Pi} |m(E) - \lambda_m(E)| \leq \varepsilon u$$

holds, for every partition Π finer than P_2. So, if P is any partition finer than $P_1 \vee P_2$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_I f(t_I)\lambda_m(I) - \int_T f dm\right| &\leq \left|\sum_I [f(t_I)\lambda_m(I) - f(t_I)m(I)]\right| + \left|\sum_I f(t_I)m(I) - \int_T f dm\right| \leq \\ &\leq \sum_I |f(t_I)(\lambda_m(I) - m(I))| + \varepsilon u \leq \\ &\leq K \sum_I |m(I) - \lambda_m(I)| + \varepsilon u \leq (1+K)\varepsilon u. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\left\|\sum_I f(t_I)\lambda_m(I) - \int_T f dm\right\|_\infty \leq (1+K)\varepsilon.$$

This clearly suffices to conclude that f is integrable with respect to λ_m and the two integrals agree. A similar argument can be used to prove also the reverse implication, hence the proof is finished. ■

5 A Radon-Nikodým type theorem

This section deals with a Radon-Nikodým type theorem for multimeasures using the notion of exhaustion, following a method of Maynard [21, 24–26, 28, 32]. Firstly, the set-valued Gould type integral, introduced and studied in [15, 16, 29], will be recalled.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space with the metric d induced by the norm $\|\cdot\|$, B_X its unit ball and $ck(X)$ the family of all nonempty compact convex subsets of X. By $+$ the Minkowski addition will be indicated. Let h be the Hausdorff metric on $ck(X)$. It is well-known that $(ck(X), h)$ is a complete metric space (see for example [11, Theorem II-14]). Finally, for any bounded set A as above, $|A|_h$ denotes the distance $h(A, \{0\})$, where 0 is the origin of X. As to the Hausdorff distance h, defined among bounded subsets of X, we recall that

$$h(A,B) = \max\{e(A,B), e(B,A)\},$$

where the *excess* $e(A,B)$ is defined as $e(A,B) := \sup_{a \in A} d(a,B)$. In particular

Remark 5.1 If $A \subset B$, then $e(A,B) = 0$ and $h(A,B) = e(B,A)$.
Moreover, observe that for any non-empty bounded set $A \subset X$, and any pair (h,b) of elements of X,

$$|d(h,A) - d(b,A)| \leq \|b - h\|.$$

Indeed, let $\sigma := \|b - h\|$ and fix arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists $a \in A$ such that

$$d(h,A) \geq d(h,a) - \varepsilon \geq d(b,a) - \sigma - \varepsilon \geq d(b,A) - \varepsilon - \sigma.$$

By arbitrariness of ε, it follows that $d(h,A) - d(b,A) \geq -\sigma$, i.e. $d(b,A) - d(h,A) \leq \sigma$. Exchanging the roles between h and b, one obtains $d(h,A) - d(b,A) \leq \sigma$ and therefore $|d(h,A) - d(b,A)| \leq \|b - h\|$.
Another useful fact is the following: for every pair of bounded subsets $A, B \subset X$, $e(B,A) = e(cl(B),A)$. Of course, since $B \subset cl(B)$, it is clear that $e(B,A) \leq e(cl(B),A)$. *Viceversa*, fix $\varepsilon > 0$: then an element $j \in cl(B)$ exists, such that $d(j,A) \geq e(cl(B),A) - \varepsilon/2$. Now, let $h \in B$ be such that $\|h - j\| \leq \varepsilon/2$: then $|d(h,A) - d(j,A)| \leq \varepsilon/2$, and so $e(B,A) \geq d(h,A) \geq d(j,A) - \varepsilon/2 \geq e(cl(B),A) - \varepsilon$. By arbitrariness of ε, this gives the reverse inequality and the proof is complete.

Now we can prove the following result.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $(A_n)_n$ be any increasing sequence of compact convex subsets of X, and assume that a compact convex set K exists, such that $A_n \subset K$ for all n. Then $\lim_n h(A_n, J) = 0$, where $J := cl(\bigcup_n A_n)$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that J is compact and convex. Of course, since $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset J$ for every n, it is sufficient to prove that $\lim_n e(J, A_n) = \inf_n e(J, A_n) = 0$.
By contradiction, assume that a positive number α exists, such that $e(J, A_n) \geq \alpha$ for every integer n. By the previous remarks, we see that $e(J, A_n) = e(H, A_n)$, where $H = \bigcup_n A_n$. Thus, by the contradiction assumed, one has $e(H, A_n) \geq \alpha$ for all n. Therefore, for every n an element $b_n \in H$ can be found, such that $d(b_n, A_n) > \alpha/2$. Since the elements b_n also belong to J, there exists at least a subsequence norm-converging to some element $j \in J$. Now, if one fixes a positive number $\varepsilon < \alpha/4$, there are infinite integers n_i such that $\|b_{n_i} - j\| \leq \varepsilon$ and therefore

$$d(j, A_{n_i}) > d(b_{n_i}, A_{n_i}) - \varepsilon \geq \alpha/4.$$

But H is dense in J, so there is some element $h \in H$ so close to j that $d(h, A_{n_i}) \geq \alpha/8$ for every index i. However an index i exists, such that $h \in A_{n_i}$ and this implies $d(h, A_{n_i}) = 0$, contradiction. ■

Definition 5.3 A multifunction $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ is said to be a *multisubmeasure* ([12]) if:

- **(5.3.i)** $M(\emptyset) = \{0\}$,
- **(5.3.ii)** $M(A) \subset M(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$, with $A \subset B$ (that is, M is *monotone* on $\mathscr{A}$) and
- **(5.3.iii)** $M(A \cup B) \subset M(A) + M(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$, with $A \cap B = \emptyset$ (or, equivalently, $M(A \cup B) \subset M(A) + M(B)$, for every $A, B \in \mathscr{A}$).

If in **(5.3.iii)** there is an equality then M is said to be a *multimeasure* that is, M is finitely additive.

Examples are given in [17].

Definition 5.4 Let $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be a multivalued set function, with $M(\emptyset) = \{0\}$. Consider the following set functions associated to M:

- **5.4.i)** $|M(\cdot)|_h$ defined by $|M(A)|_h = h(M(A), \{0\}) = \sup\{\|x\| : x \in M(A)\}$ for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$.
- **5.4.ii)** $v_M(\cdot)$ defined by $v_M(A) = \sup\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} |M(E_i)|_h\}$, for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$, where the supremum is extended over all finite partitions $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of A. $v_M(\cdot)$ is said to be *the variation* of M. M is said to be *of finite variation* if $v_M(T) < \infty$.

In the sequel, let $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be a multisubmeasure of finite variation and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ a real function. According with the Definition 3.2, f is said to be

Definition 5.5 *v_M-totally measurable* (*on T*) if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a partition of T, $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \subset \mathscr{A}$, such that:

5.5.a) $v_M(A_0) < \varepsilon$ and $\sup_{t,s \in A_i} |f(t) - f(s)| = \operatorname{osc}(f, A_i) < \varepsilon$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Let $\sigma(P) = \sigma_{f,M}(P) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(t_i) M(A_i)$, for every partition $P = \{A_i\}_{i=1,\ldots,n}$ of T and every $t_i \in A_i, i = 1, \ldots, n$.

5.5.b) f is *M-integrable (on T)* if the net $(\sigma(P))_{P\in(\mathscr{P},\le)}$ is convergent in $(ck(X),h)$ (where $\mathscr{P}$ is the set of all partitions of T and " $\le$ " is the order relation on $\mathscr{P}$ given in Definition 3.1-II.
Its limit is called *the integral of* f *on* T with respect to the multisubmeasure M and is denoted by $\int_T f dM$.

5.5.c) If $B\in\mathscr{A}$, then f is said to be *M-integrable on B* if the restriction $f|_B$ of f to B is M-integrable on $(B,\mathscr{A}_B,M_B)$.

In other words, f is M-integrable in T if there exists an element $J\in ck(X)$, such that for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a partition $P\in\mathscr{P}$ with the property that $h(\sigma(P'),J)\le\varepsilon$ holds true, for every partition P' finer than P.

Observe now that an embedding theorem given in [22] can be used in order to replace the multivalued integral above with a single-valued one, at least for *positive* integrands f.

Theorem 5.6 ([22, Theorem 5.6]). *Let X be any Banach space. Then there exist a compact, stonian, Hausdorff space* Ω *and an isometry* $U: ck(X)\to C(\Omega)$ *such that*

5.6.1) $U(\alpha A+\beta C)=\alpha U(A)+\beta U(C)$ *for all* $\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{R}^+$ *and* $A,C\in ck(X)$.

5.6.2) $h(A,C)=\|U(A)-U(C)\|_\infty$ *for all* $A,C\in ck(X)$.

5.6.3) $U(ck(X))$ *is norm-closed in* $C(\Omega)$.

5.6.4) $U(\overline{co}(A\cup C))=\max\{U(A),U(C)\}$, $\forall A,C\in ck(X)$.

This leads to the following

Definition 5.7 Define $U_M:\mathscr{A}\to C(\Omega)$ as $U_M(E)=U(M(E))$ for all $E\in\mathscr{A}$. The mapping U_M will be called the *embedded* mapping of M. A mapping $f: T\to\mathbb{R}_0^+$ is said to be Gould-integrable with respect to U_M if it satisfies Definition 4.1.

Then:

Theorem 5.8 *Let* $M:\mathscr{A}\to ck(X)$ *be any multisubmeasure, and let* $f: T\to\mathbb{R}_0^+$ *be any fixed function. Then f is Gould-integrable with respect to M, with integral J, if and only if it is Gould-integrable with respect to* U_M *and its integral is j. Then the two integrals satisfy:* $U(J)=j$. *Finally, in these cases,* $f\mathbf{1}_A$ *is integrable for every* $A\in\mathscr{A}$.

Proof. First, assume that f is Gould-integrable with respect to U_M, and denote by j its integral. This means that the filtering net $(U(\sigma(1,P))_P$ is convergent to j, hence it is Cauchy in $C(\Omega)$. Then, also the net $(\sigma(1,P))_P$ is Cauchy in $ck(X)$: by completeness of this space, $(\sigma(1,P))_P$ has limit J in $ck(X)$. By continuity of U, it is then clear that $U(J)=j$. A similar argument can be used to prove the converse implication, so to conclude the proof it only remains to deduce integrability of f in every subset $A\in\mathscr{A}$, and this is a consequence of integrability of f with respect to $U(M)$: indeed, fixing any subset $A\in\mathscr{A}$ and any positive ε in $\mathbb{R}$, a partition P exists, finer than $\{A,T\setminus A\}$, such that

$$\|\sigma(f,P')-\sigma(f,P'')\|_\infty\le\varepsilon$$

holds, for all partitions P' and P'' finer than P. So, choosing two partitions of A, say Π'_A and Π''_A, both finer than P_A (i.e. P restricted to A), and *extending* them to A^c with a unique partition finer than P_{A^c}, then two partitions, P' and P'', can be found, both finer than P, and coincident in the set A^c: these partitions satisfy

$$\varepsilon>\|\sigma(f,P')-\sigma(f,P'')\|_\infty=\|\sigma(f,\Pi'_A)-\sigma(f,\Pi''_A)\|_\infty.$$

By completeness of $C(\Omega)$, this is enough to deduce integrability of $f\mathbf{1}_A$. ■

In the sequel, also the notion of Gould-integrability of a multisubmeasure M is needed (see also Definition 4.7).

Definition 5.9 Given a multisubmeasure $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$, it is said to be *integrable* if the indicator function $f(x) \equiv \mathbf{1}_A$ is Gould-integrable with respect to M for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$. Then the notation

$$M_0(A) := \int_T \mathbf{1}_A dM := \int_A \mathbf{1}\, dM,$$

is used, for $A \in \mathscr{A}$. This means that, for every element $A \in \mathscr{A}$ there exists an element $M_0(A) \in ck(X)$ such that, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ a partition $P \in \mathscr{P}$ can be found with the property that

$$h(\sum_{I \in P'} M(I \cap A), M_0(A)) \leq \varepsilon$$

holds, as soon as P' is a finer partition than P.

Taking into account of previous results, it follows

Theorem 5.10 *Let M be a multisubmeasure of bounded variation. If M is $ck(X)$-valued, then M is integrable if and only if there exists a convex compact set K such that $\sigma(1,P) \subset K$ for all partitions P. When this is the case, then*

$$\int_T M = cl\left(\bigcup \{\sigma(1,P) : P \in \mathscr{P}(T)\}\right).$$

Proof. Sufficiency: first of all, thanks to bounded variation, all the sums $\sigma(1,P) := \sum_{I \in P} M(I)$ are compact convex sets contained in K for all partitions P. Moreover, thanks to subadditivity, the sums above are a filtering family in $ck(X)$. In order to prove the existence of the integral, it is enough to show that the map $P \mapsto \sigma(1,P)$ is Cauchy, since $ck(X)$ is a complete space with respect to the Hausdorff distance. Assume by contradiction that the Cauchy property does not hold: then there exists a positive number ε such that, as soon as P is any partition of T, a couple (P', P'') of finer partitions exists, satisfying $h(\sigma(1,P'), \sigma(1,P'')) \geq \varepsilon$. Since the refinement order is filtering, this allows to find a sequence $(P_n)_n$ of partitions, increasing in the refinement order, and such that $h(\sigma(1,P_n), \sigma(1,P_{n+1})) \geq \varepsilon$ for all n. Now, since $\sigma(1,P_n)$ is an increasing sequence of elements of $ck(X)$, Proposition 5.2 applies, and the limit $\lim_n \sigma(1,P_n)$ exists, with respect to the Hausdorff distance: but this contradicts the fact that $h(\sigma(1,P_n), \sigma(1,P_{n+1})) \geq \varepsilon$ for all n. So this part of the theorem is proved.
Necessity: choose any partition P of T, $P = \{E_1, ..., E_k\}$, and fix arbitrarily $\varepsilon > 0$. By integrability, there exists a partition P_ε such that, for every finer partition P' it holds

$$h\left(\sigma(1,P'), \int_T M\right) \leq \varepsilon,$$

from which

$$\sigma(1,P') \subset \int_T M + \varepsilon B_X.$$

Therefore, choosing $P' := P_\varepsilon \vee P$, and thanks to subadditivity of M, it follows

$$\sigma(1,P) \subset \sigma(1,P') \subset \int_T M + \varepsilon B_X.$$

By arbitrariness of P, one gets

$$\bigcup_P \sigma(1,P) \subset \int_T M + \varepsilon B_X,$$

where P ranges over all the possible partitions of T. By arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$ and compactness of $\int_T M$, it is obvious that

$$\bigcup_P \sigma(1,P) \subset \int_T M,$$

and so the necessity is proven. Observe that, since $\int_T M$ is closed, the inclusion

$$cl\left(\bigcup_P \sigma(1,P)\right) \subset \int_T M$$

follows from the last formula. In order to finish the proof, it only remains to prove the reverse inclusion, assuming that M is Gould integrable. To this aim, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and any partition P of T such that

$$\int_T M \subset \sigma(1,P') + \varepsilon B_X$$

holds, for all partitions P' finer than P. Then

$$\int_T M \subset cl\left(\bigcup_{P \in \mathscr{P}(T)} \sigma(1,P)\right) + \varepsilon B_X.$$

Then, by arbitrariness of ε, the desired inclusion follows. ■

Now, assuming that M is integrable, the additivity of its *integral mapping* M_0 will be proven together with the equivalence between the integrability of positive functions f with respect to M and with respect to M_0, at least when f is bounded. So, at least for bounded positive mappings f, integrability with respect to M is equivalent to integrability with respect to an **additive** multimeasure (with the same integral).

Furthermore, since the embedding theorem apply, M will be always identified with U_M, so that M will denote a single-valued mapping, taking values in $C(\Omega)$ and so all the results given in Section 4 could be applied to M.

- From now on the multisubmeasure M will be always assumed of bounded variation and satisfying the conditions in Theorem 5.10, so that M is integrable in $ck(X)$.

First of all, observe that, in those conditions, $\int_A dM$ exists, for every set $A \in \mathscr{A}$, essentially with the same proof of Theorem 5.10. Next,

Proposition 5.11 *In the conditions above, the function M_0, defined in $\mathscr{A}$ as $M_0(A) = \int_A dM$, is additive.*

The proof is quite similar to that of Proposition 4.8, so it is omitted.

Now, notice that, under the above conditions, the $C(\Omega)$-valued measure $U_{M_0} - U_M$ (which is nonnegative, of course) has null integral. This almost immediately implies the following result.

Theorem 5.12 *Let M be any multisubmeasure as above, and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ any bounded mapping. Then f is M-integrable if and only if it is M_0-integrable, and the integrals coincide.*

Proof. Assume that f is integrable with respect to M. Then it is U_M-integrable, and

$$U\left(\int_T f dM\right) = \int_T f dU_M.$$

Now, it is sufficient to apply Corollary 4.11 to deduce that f is integrable also with respect to U_{M_0} with the same integral, so

$$U\left(\int_T f dM\right) = \int_T f dU_M = \int_T f dU_{M_0},$$

which also shows that $\int_T f dU_{M_0}$ is in the range of U. Thanks to Theorem 5.8 this is enough to conclude that f is integrable with respect to M_0 and the two integrals agree. A reverse argument shows also the opposite implication, so the proof is complete. ■

Also integrability on measurable subsets can be deduced, in a usual manner.

Proposition 5.13 *Let $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be any multisubmeasure of bounded variation, and $f : T \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ be any Gould-integrable mapping with respect to M. Then, if A is any fixed element of $\mathscr{A}$, the mapping $f\mathbf{1}_A$ is integrable too.*

Proof. It is analogous to Proposition 4.3. ■

The following result will be used later.

Proposition 5.14 *Let $M : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be any integrable multisubmeasure, with bounded variation. Then, if M_0 denotes its integral measure, M_0 has the same variation measure as M.*

Proof. Fix arbitrarily $A \in \mathscr{A}$, and denote by $\mathscr{P}(A)$ the family of all finite partitions of A. Then, thanks to Theorem 5.10

$$M_0(A) = cl(\bigcup\{\sum_i M(B_i) : (B_i)_i \in \mathscr{P}(A)\}).$$

In particular, $M(A) \subset M_0(A)$, and, for any partition $(B_i)_i$ in $\mathscr{P}(A)$, one has

$$\sum_i |M(B_i)|_h \le \sum_i |M_0(B_i)|_h \le v_{M_0}(A).$$

This clearly implies that $v_M(A) \le v_{M_0}(A)$. Conversely, fix any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $A \in \mathscr{A}$. For every partition $P \equiv (B_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathscr{P}(A)$, and every index i, since M is integrable, there exists a partition $P_i \equiv (B'_{i,j})_j \in \mathscr{P}(B_i)$ such that

$$|M_0(B_i)|_h \le \left|\sum_j M(B'_{i,j})\right|_h + \varepsilon/N \le \sum_j |M(B'_{i,j})|_h + \varepsilon/N$$

and so

$$\sum_i |M_0(B_i)|_h \le \sum_i \sum_j |M(B'_{i,j})|_h + \varepsilon \le v_M(A) + \varepsilon.$$

By arbitrariness of $P \in \mathscr{P}(A)$ and of $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows

$$v_{M_0}(A) \le v_M(A).$$

■

In the sequel, assume that $\mathscr{A}$ is a σ-algebra. Let also $\Gamma : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be any fixed multisubmeasure. Following [21, 26] the α-approximate range is defined in the following way:

Definition 5.15 For $\alpha > 0$ and $E \in \mathscr{A}$, let $A_\Gamma(E,\alpha)$ be the *α-approximate range* defined by:

$$A_\Gamma(E,\alpha) = \{r \in [0,+\infty) : h(\Gamma(H), rM(H)) \leq \alpha v_M(H), \forall H \in \mathscr{A} \cap E\}.$$

Remark 5.16 Observe that, by Theorem 5.6, using the embedding U, it is possible to formulate the α-approximate range in the following way:

$$A_\Gamma(E,\alpha) \quad = \quad \{r \in [0,+\infty) : \|U_\Gamma(H) - rU_M(H)\|_\infty \leq \alpha \overline{m}(H), \forall H \in \mathscr{A} \cap E\},$$

where $m := U_M$. In fact $h(\Gamma(H), rM(H)) = \|U_\Gamma(H) - rU_M(H)\|_\infty$ and $\overline{m}(H) = \sup \sum_i \|U_M(E_i)\|_\infty = \sup \sum_i |M(E_i)|_h = v_M(H)$.

Theorem 5.17 ([26, Lemma 3.3]) *Let $\Gamma : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ be a multimeasure such that $\Gamma \ll v_M$ (i.e. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists \delta(\varepsilon) = \delta > 0$ such that for every $E \in \mathscr{A}$ with $v_M(E) < \delta$, it follows $v_\Gamma(E) < \varepsilon$). Then, for every $\alpha > 0$, the property "$A_\Gamma(E,\alpha) \neq \emptyset$" is v_M-null difference.*

Theorem 5.18 *Let P be a v_M-null difference property such that P is v_M-exhaustive on T. Then there exists $(B_i)_i$ a v_M-exhaustion of T, such that every B_i has P and $T = \bigcup_i B_i$.*

Proof. Since P is v_M-exhaustive on T, there exists $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ a v_M-exhaustion of T, such that every E_i has P. Thus

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0(\varepsilon) = n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } v_M(T \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} E_i) < \varepsilon. \tag{3}$$

Let $E_0 = T \setminus \bigcup_{i\in I} E_i$. From the previous inequality it results $v_M(E_0) = 0$. Let $(B_i)_{i\in I}$ be the family of sets defined by: $B_1 = E_0 \cup E_1 \in \mathscr{A}, B_i = E_i \in \mathscr{A}$ for $i \geq 2$. Then $v_M(B_1) = v_M(E_1) > 0$ since $v_M(E_1) \leq v_M(B_1) \leq v_M(E_1) + v_M(E_0) = v_M(E_1)$ and $v_M(B_i) = v_M(E_i) > 0, \forall i \geq 2$. Obviously, $T = \bigcup_{i\in I} B_i$. It is $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i = E_0 \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} E_i$. Since $v_M(T \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} B_i) \leq v_M(T \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_0} E_i) < \varepsilon$, then $(B_i)_{i\in I}$ is a v_M-exhaustion of T. Now, for every $i \geq 2, B_i = E_i$ has P. So, it only remains to prove that B_1 has P. By the relations:

$$B_1 \triangle E_1 = (E_0 \cup E_1) \triangle E_1 = E_0 \setminus E_1 \subset E_0 \Rightarrow 0 \leq v_M(B_1 \triangle E_1) \leq v_M(E_0) = 0,$$

it follows that $v_M(B_1 \triangle E_1) = 0$. Since P is v_M-null difference and E_1 has P, one concludes that B_1 has P. ■

Definition 5.19 A multimeasure $\Gamma : \mathscr{A} \to ck(X)$ is said to be *strongly absolutely continuous* (or *scalarly dominated* by v_M, [32]) with respect to M if there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $|\Gamma(E)|_h \leq b v_M(E)$, for every $E \in \mathscr{A}$.

Lemma 5.20 (see [23, Lemma 2.9] for semivariation). *For every $E \in \mathscr{A}$ with $v_M(E) > 0$, there exists $B \in \mathscr{A} \cap E$, such that $v_M(B) < 2|M(B)|_h$.*

Proof. By contradiction, there exists an element $E \in \mathscr{A}$, with $v_M(E) > 0$ such that $v_M(B) \geq 2|M(B)|_h$ for all measurable sets $B \subset E$, with $v_M(B) > 0$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily and pick a disjoint family $B_1, \ldots, B_k$ of measurable subsets of E, such that $v_M(B_i) > 0$ for all i and $\sum_i |M(B_i)|_h + \varepsilon \geq v_M(E)$. Since v_M is additive, from the contradiction assumed we obtain

$$v_M(E) = \sum_i v_M(B_i) \geq 2 \sum_i |M(B_i)|_h \geq 2v_M(E) - 2\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrarily small it follows that $v_M(E) \leq 0$, contradiction. ■

Theorem 5.21 [Radon Nikodým] *Let M and Γ be two multisubmeasures with bounded variation with values in $ck(X)$, satisfying the conditions of Theorem 5.10. Suppose moreover that Γ is additive and*

5.21.1) *Γ is strongly absolutely continuous with respect to M;*

5.21.2) *for every $\varepsilon > 0$, the set property "$A_\Gamma(E,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$" is v_M-exhaustive on every $E \in \mathscr{A}$ with $v_M(E) > 0$.*

Then there exists an M-integrable bounded function $f : T \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$ such that for every $E \in \mathscr{A}$ it is

$$\Gamma(E) = \int_E f dM.$$

Proof. Thanks to Theorems 5.8 and 5.12, it will be sufficient to prove that, for the single-valued mappings U_Γ and U_{M_0}, there exists a bounded measurable Radon-Nikodým derivative f. Indeed, the strong absolute continuity of Γ with respect to M implies the same property with respect to M_0, since $v_M = v_{M_0}$ by Proposition 5.14. Of course, then the single-valued additive mapping U_Γ is strongly absolutely continuous with respect to U_{M_0}. Now, it will be proved that Γ and M_0 satisfy the condition of exhaustivity of the property "$A_\Gamma(E,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$". To this aim, the notation $A_{\Gamma,M}(E,\varepsilon)$ will be used, in order to stress the role of M in $A_\Gamma(E,\varepsilon)$. Then, assuming that the set $A_{\Gamma,M}(E,\varepsilon)$ is nonempty, it turns out that also $A_{\Gamma,M_0}(E,\varepsilon)$ is nonempty. Indeed, let $\sigma > 0$ and $r \in A_{\Gamma,M}(E,\varepsilon)$ be fixed. Then, for every measurable $H \subset E$, there exists a finite partition $\{H_1,...,H_l\}$ of H, such that $h(rM_0(H), r\sum_{i=1}^{l} M(H_i)) \leq \sigma$. Now, one has

$$\begin{aligned} h(\Gamma(H), rM_0(H)) &\leq h(\Gamma(H), r\sum_{i=1}^{l} M(H_i)) + \sigma = h(\sum_{i=1}^{l}\Gamma(H_i), r\sum_{i=1}^{l} M(H_i)) + \sigma \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{l} h(\Gamma(H_i), rM(H_i)) + \sigma \leq \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{l} v_M(H_i) + \sigma = \varepsilon v_M(H) + \sigma = \varepsilon v_{M_0}(H) + \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Since σ is arbitrary, this shows that $r \in A_{\Gamma,M_0}(E,\varepsilon)$, and, in turn, this implies the exhaustivity of the property "$A_\Gamma(E,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset$". also with respect to M_0. Of course, since U is an isometric embedding, also the measures $U(\Gamma)$ and $U(M_0)$ enjoy the same properties of absolute continuity and exhaustivity. Once a bounded measurable Radon-Nikodým derivative f has been found, for U_Γ with respect to U_{M_0}, then one has integrability of f with respect to M_0 by the Theorem 5.8 and

$$U\left(\int_E f dM_0\right) = \int_E f dU_{M_0} = U_\Gamma(E),$$

while

$$\int_E f dM_0 = \int_E f dM,$$

holds true for every measurable set E thanks to Theorem 5.12. So, for all $E \in \mathscr{A}$ one has

$$U\left(\int_E f dM\right) = U_\Gamma(E)$$

and therefore $\int_E f dM = \Gamma(E)$. So, the main task now is to find a bounded measurable mapping f, derivative of U_Γ with respect to U_M. In this part of the proof, the notations $U_\Gamma = \gamma$ and $U_M = m$ will be adopted. Denote by b the positive number related to strong absolute continuity. Obviously, from 5.21.1) it follows $\gamma \ll \overline{m}$. Since 5.21.2) there exists $(E_i)_{i\in I}$ a $\overline{m}$-exhaustion of each $E \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\overline{m}(E) > 0$ such that $E = \bigcup_{i\in I} E_i$ and $A_\gamma(E_i,\varepsilon) \neq \emptyset, \forall i \in I$. Following the same lines as in [23, Lemma 3.1], one finds a sequence $(E_\alpha^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, of $\overline{m}$-exhaustions of T such that:

5.21.a) $A_{\gamma}(E^n_{\alpha}, 2^{-n}) \neq \emptyset, \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

5.21.b) $E^n_{\alpha} = \bigcup_i E^{n+1}_{\alpha,i}$, where $(E^{n+1}_{\alpha,i})_i$ is a $\overline{m}$-exhaustion of E^n_{α}, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

5.21.c) for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it holds $T = \bigcup\limits_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} E^n_{\alpha}$ and $(E^n_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$ is a $\overline{m}$-exhaustion of T.

Let $f_n = \sum\limits_{\alpha} r^n_{\alpha} \cdot \chi_{E^n_{\alpha}}$, where $r^n_{\alpha} \in A_{\gamma}(E^n_{\alpha}, 2^{-n})$, $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Since $r^n_{\alpha} \in A_{\gamma}(E^n_{\alpha}, 2^{-n})$, it results:

$$\|\gamma(H) - r^n_{\alpha} m(H)\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{-n} \overline{m}(H), \ \forall H \in \mathscr{A}, H \subset E^n_{\alpha}. \tag{4}$$

By Lemma 5.20, for every E^n_{α}, there is $B^n_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{A}$, $B^n_{\alpha} \subset E^n_{\alpha}$ such that $0 < \overline{m}(B^n_{\alpha}) < 2\|m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}$. Now, from (4) it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |r^n_{\alpha}| &= \frac{1}{\|m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} \|r^n_{\alpha} m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\|m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} \|r^n_{\alpha} m(B^n_{\alpha}) - \gamma(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty} + \\ &+ \frac{\|\gamma(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}}{\|m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} < \frac{2^{-n}\overline{m}(B^n_{\alpha})}{\|m(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} + \frac{2\|\gamma(B^n_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}}{\overline{m}(B^n_{\alpha})} < 2^{1-n} + 2b \leq 1 + 2b. \end{aligned}$$

So, $|r^n_{\alpha}| \leq 1 + 2b$, forall $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that the sequence $(f_n)_n$ is uniformly bounded. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From 5.21.c) it results: for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n_{\varepsilon}} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\overline{m}\left(T \setminus \bigcup\limits_{i=1}^{n_{\varepsilon}} E^n_{\alpha_i}\right) < \varepsilon$ and $\operatorname{osc}(f_n, E^n_{\alpha_i}) = 0$, for every $i = 1, \dots, n_{\varepsilon}$. Thus f_n is $\overline{m}$-totally measurable and m-integrable, also thanks to Proposition 4.5.
The sequence $(f_n)_n$ is uniformly Cauchy as will be proven now. Let $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, k < n$ be fixed. Letting α run in $\mathbb{N}^k$, and β in $\mathbb{N}^{n-k}$ one can write

$$f_k = \sum_{\alpha} r^k_{\alpha} \cdot \chi_{E^k_{\alpha}}, \qquad f_n = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)} r^n_{\alpha,\beta} \chi_{E^n_{\alpha,\beta}}.$$

Since $(E^n_{\alpha,\beta})_{\beta}$ is a $\overline{m}$-exhaustion of E^k_{α}, then: $r^k_{\alpha}, r^n_{\alpha,\beta} \in A_{\gamma}(E^k_{\alpha}, 2^{-k})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & |r^k_{\alpha} - r^n_{\alpha,\beta}| = \frac{1}{\|m(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} \|(r^k_{\alpha} - r^n_{\alpha,\beta}) m(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty} \leq \\ \leq \ & \frac{1}{\|m(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} \left(\|r^k_{\alpha} m(B^k_{\alpha}) - \gamma(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty} + \|\gamma(B^k_{\alpha}) - r^n_{\alpha,\beta} m(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty} \right) \leq \frac{\overline{m}(B^k_{\alpha})}{\|m(B^k_{\alpha})\|_{\infty}} 2^{1-k} \leq 2^{2-k}. \end{aligned}$$

So, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $n_0(\varepsilon) = n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$|f_k(t) - f_n(t)| = |r^k_{\alpha,\beta} - r^n_{\alpha,\beta}| \leq 2^{2-k} < \varepsilon, \ \forall k, n \geq n_0, \ k \leq n, \tag{5}$$

which shows that the sequence $(f_n(t))_n$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R}$, uniformly in $t \in T$. So there exists the limit $f(t) = \lim\limits_{n \to \infty} f_n(t)$, for every $t \in T$, and then f is measurable. From (5) and the definition of f it results:

$$|f_n(t) - f(t)| \leq 2^{3-n}, \ \forall t \in T, n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{6}$$

It follows that f is bounded and $\overline{m}$-totally measurable. Thanks to the mentioned result by [18, Theorem 2.16], f is m-integrable. Then, uniformly in $E \in \mathscr{A}$ it is

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \int_E f_n dm - \int_E f dm \right\|_{\infty} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{t \in E} |f_n(t) - f(t)| \cdot \overline{m}(E) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{3-n} \cdot \overline{m}(T) = 0.$$

Thanks to Proposition 4.5 one has

$$\int_E f_n dm = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} \int_{E\cap E^n_\alpha} f_n dm. \tag{7}$$

Let $E \in \mathscr{A}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. From the absolute continuity (in the sense ε, δ) of γ with respect to m there is $\delta > 0$, such that for every $A \in \mathscr{A}$ with $\overline{m}(A) < \delta$, it holds $\|\gamma(A)\| < 4^{-1}\varepsilon$. Since the family $(E \cap E^n_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n}$ is a $\overline{m}$-exhaustion of E, for such $\delta > 0$, there is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\overline{m}\left(E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha)\right) < \delta, \text{ with the notation } N = \underbrace{(q,\dots,q)}_{n}. \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8), there is $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-n_1}\overline{m}(T) < 4^{-1}\varepsilon$ and, for each $n \geq n_1$:

$$\left\|\int_E f_n dm - \int_E f dm\right\|_\infty < 4^{-1}\varepsilon \tag{9}$$

$$\left\|\sum_{\alpha<N} \int_{E\cap E^n_\alpha} f_n dm - \int_E f_n dm\right\|_\infty = \left\|\sum_{\alpha<N} r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha) - \int_E f_n dm\right\|_\infty < 4^{-1}\varepsilon.$$

Then both inequalities in (9) imply

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\gamma(E) - \int_E f dm\right\|_\infty &\leq \left\|\gamma(E) - \gamma\Big(\bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha)\Big)\right\|_\infty + \qquad (10)\\ &+ \left\|\gamma\Big(\bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha)\Big) - \sum_{\alpha<N} r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\sum_{\alpha<N} r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha) - \int_E f_n dm\right\|_\infty + \\ &+ \left\|\int_E f_n dm - \int_E f dm\right\|_\infty \leq \left\|\gamma\Big(E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha)\Big)\right\|_\infty + \left\|\gamma(\bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha)) - \sum_{\alpha<N} r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha)\right\|_\infty + \frac{\varepsilon}{2},\end{aligned}$$

From the absolute continuity of γ with respect to m one has

$$\left\|\gamma(E \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha<N} (E\cap E^n_\alpha))\right\|_\infty < 4^{-1}\varepsilon \tag{11}$$

and by 5.21.a)

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\sum_{\alpha<N} \gamma(E\cap E^n_\alpha) - \sum_{\alpha<N} r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha)\right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{\alpha<N} \|\gamma(E\cap E^n_\alpha) - r^n_\alpha m(E\cap E^n_\alpha)\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha<N} 2^{-n}\overline{m}(E\cap E^n_\alpha) = 2^{-n}\overline{m}(E) \leq 2^{-n}\overline{m}(T) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

So from the previous inequalities (10), (11) and (12) it is

$$\left\|\gamma(E) - \int_E f dm\right\|_\infty < \varepsilon,$$

for all $\varepsilon > 0$, which implies $\gamma(E) = \int_E f dm$, for every $E \in \mathscr{A}$. So, using also Theorem 5.12, it was proved that

$$U_\Gamma(E) = \int_E f dU_{M_0} = \int_E f dU_M = U\left(\int_E f dM\right)$$

and by the Theorem 5.6

$$\Gamma(E) = \int_E f dM.$$

■

Acknowledgements

The first and the last authors have been supported by University of Perugia – Department of Mathematics and Computer Sciences– Grant Nr 2010.011.0403, Prin ”Metodi logici per il trattamento dell’informazione”, Prin ”Descartes” and by the Grant prot. U2014/000237 of GNAMPA - INDAM (Italy).

References

[1] L. Angeloni,L., Cornet, B., – *Existence of Financial Equilibria in a Multiperiod Stochastic Economy*, Advances in Mathematical Economics, **8** (2006), 1–31.

[2] Boccuto, A., Candeloro, D., Sambucini, A.R. – *Vitali-type theorems for filter convergence related to vector lattice-valued modulars and applications to stochastic processes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **419** (2), (2014), 818–838; doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa-2014.05.014.

[3] Boccuto A., Candeloro D., Sambucini A.R., - *Henstock multivalued integrability in Banach lattices with respect to pointwise non atomic measures*, in press in Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl., arXiv: 1503.08285v1 [math.FA].

[4] Candeloro, D., Croitoru, A., Gavriluţ, A. Sambucini, A.R. – *An Extension of the Birkhoff Integrability for Multifunctions*, Mediterranean Journal Math., (2015) Doi 10.1007/s00009-015-0639-7, arXiv:1507.06444v1

[5] Candeloro, D., Pucci, S. – *Radon-Nikodým derivatives and conditioning in fuzzy measure theory*, Stochastica XI-2,3 (1987), 107–120.

[6] Candeloro, D., Martellotti, A. – *Radon-Nikodým theorems for vector-valued finitely additive measures*, Rend. Mat. Appl., **12** (7) (1992), 1071–1086.

[7] Candeloro, D., Sambucini, A.R. – *Filter convergence and decompositions for vector lattice-valued measures*, Mediterranean J. Math., **12** (3) (2015), 621-637. Doi: 10.1007/s00009-014-0431-0 arXiv:1401.7818 [math.FA].

[8] Candeloro, D., Sambucini, A.R. – *Order-type Henstock and Mc Shane integrals in Banach lattice setting*, Proceedings of the Sisy 20014- IEEE 12th International Symposium on Intelligent Systems and Informatics, At Subotica - Serbia, 55–59, arXiv:1405.6502 [math.FA].

[9] D. Candeloro, A.R. Sambucini, - *Comparison between some norm and order gauge integrals in Banach lattices*, in press in PanAmerican Math. J. (2015), arXiv:1503.04968 [math.FA].

[10] Candeloro, D., Volčič, A. – *Radon-Nikodým Theorems*, in Handbook of Measure Theory (ed. E. Pap), pp. 249–294, Elsevier, 2002.

[11] Castaing, C., Valadier, M. – *Convex analysis and Measurable multifunctions*, Lecture Notes in Math. 580 Springer-Verlag (1977).

[12] Croitoru, A. – *A Radon-Nikodým theorem for multimeasures*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. ”Al. I. Cuza” Iaşi, 44 (1998), 395–402.

[13] Croitoru, A., Gavriluţ, A. – *Comparison Between Birkhoff Integral and Gould Integral*, Mediterr. J. Math. **12** (2) (2015), 329–347, Doi 10.1007/s00009-014-0410-5.

[14] Fremlin, D.H. – *Measure theory. Vol.* 3. *Measure Algebras*, Torres Fremlin, Colchester, 2002.

[15] Gavriluţ, A. – *On some properties of the Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure*, An. Şt. Univ. ”Al. I. Cuza” Iaşi, Tomul LII, f.1 (2006), 177–194.

[16] Gavriluţ, A. – *The Gould type integral with respect to a multisubmeasure*, Math. Slovaca, **58** (2008), 43–62.

[17] Gavriluţ, A. C., Iosif A. E., Croitoru, A. – *The Gould integral in Banach lattices*, Positivity **19** (2015), 65–82, DOI:10.1007/s11117-014-0283-7.

[18] Gavriluţ, A., Petcu, A. – *A Gould type integral with respect to a submeasure,* An. Şt. Univ. Iaşi, Tomul LIII, 2007, f. 2, 351-368.

[19] Godet-Thobie, C., Croitoru, A., – *A Radon-Nikodým type theorem*, An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Ovidius Constanţa, **19** (1) (2011), 145–160.

[20] Gould, G.G. – *On integration of vector-valued measures,* Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1965), 193–225.

[21] Hagood, J.W. – *A Radon-Nikodým theorem and L_p completeness for finitely additive vector measures*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **113**, (1986), 266–279.

[22] Labuschagne, C.C.A., Pinchuck, A.L., van Alten, C.J. – *A vector lattice version of Rådström's embedding theorem*, Quaest. Math. **30** (3) (2007), 285–308.

[23] Martellotti, A. - Sambucini, A.R. – *A Radon-Nikodým theorem for a pair of Banach-valued finitely additive measures*, Rend. Ist. Mat. di Trieste, **20** (2) (1988), 331–343.

[24] Martellotti, A. - Sambucini, A.R. – *Closure of the Range and Radon-Nikodým Theorems for Vector Finitely Additive Measures with Respect to Different Types of Integration*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, XLII, (1994), 343–356.

[25] Martellotti, A., Musiał, K., Sambucini, A.R. – *A Radon-Nikodým theorem for the Bartle-Dunford-Schwartz Integral with respect to Finitely Additive Measures*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, XLII, (1994), 625–633.

[26] Martellotti, A., Sambucini, A.R. – *A Radon-Nikodým theorem for multimeasures*, Atti. Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, XLII, (1994), 575–599.

[27] Martellotti, A., Sambucini, A.R. – *The finitely additive integral of multifunctions with closed and convex values*, (Zeitschrift f ur Analysis ihre Anwendungen) Journal for Analysis and its Applications **21** (4) (2002), 1–14.

[28] Maynard, H.B.– *A Radon-Nikodým theorem for finitely additive bounded measures*, Pac. Math. **83**, (1979), 401–413.

[29] Precupanu, A., Croitoru, A., – *A Gould type integral with respect to a multimeasure. I/II,* An. Şt. Univ. "Al.I. Cuza" Iaşi, **48** (2002), 165-200 (part I) – **49** (2003), 183–207 (part II).

[30] Precupanu, A., Gavriluţ, A., Croitoru, A., – *A fuzzy Gould type integral,* Fuzzy Sets and Systems **161** (5) (2010), 661–680.

[31] Sambucini, A.R. – *Integrazione per seminorme in spazi localmente convessi,* Rivista di Matematica Univ. Parma **5**, (3) (1994), pp. 371–381.

[32] Sambucini, A.R. – *Un teorema di Radon-Nikodým in spazi localmente convessi rispetto all'integrazione per seminorme,* Rivista di Matematica, Univ. Parma, **5** (4), (1995), 49–60.

[33] Satco, B. – *A Vitali type theorem for the set-valued Gould integral,* An. Şt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi. Mat., **51** (2005), 191–200.

D. Candeloro, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PERUGIA, 1, VIA VANVITELLI – 06123, PERUGIA (ITALY)
E-mail address, D. Candeloro: `domenico.candeloro@unipg.it`

A. Croitoru (Corresponding author), FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, AL. I. CUZA UNIVERSITY, 700506 IAŞI, ROMANIA
E-mail address, A. Croitoru: `croitoru@uaic.ro`

A. Gavriluţ, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, AL. I. CUZA UNIVERSITY, 700506 IAŞI, ROMANIA
E-mail address, A. Gavriluţ: `gavrilut@uaic.ro`

A.R. Sambucini, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF PERUGIA, 1, VIA VANVITELLI – 06123, PERUGIA (ITALY)
E-mail address, A.R. Sambucini: `anna.sambucini@unipg.it`